Deconstructing bathymetric body size patterns in deep-sea gastropods
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ABSTRACT: Attempts to understand body size as an adaptation to the deep sea have relied primarily on measuring and interpreting size-depth relationships. The numerous studies documenting bathymetric size trends during the last 30 yr report widely divergent results. Body size has been found to significantly increase or decrease with depth in different taxa, but often shows no statistical pattern. Some of this variation is attributable to methodological inconsistencies and/or differences in how taxa or functional groups respond to environmental gradients associated with depth. Here, we show that the shape of the size-depth relationship also depends on the depth zone inhabited. We measured shell size for gastropods (81 species, 3423 individuals) collected in the western North Atlantic from depths of 200 to 5000 m. This database makes it possible to assess size within species and among taxa, and to document how body size changes across the continental margin and abyssal plain. Quantile regression shows that maximum size attained among all gastropods increases with depth in the bathyal zone, and then decreases in the abyss. This overall unimodal pattern appears to be generated partly by within-species clines. Size tends to increase with depth in species with upper- to mid-bathyal distributions, and to decrease with depth in species with lower-bathyal to abyssal distributions, independent of feeding type and mode of larval development. The shift from predominantly positive to negative specific size-depth clines at the bathyal-abyssal transition and the prevalence of smaller individuals in the abyss may reflect a fundamental change in ecological opportunity.
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INTRODUCTION

No potentially adaptive property of deep-sea organisms has received more attention than body size. Since many physiological and life-history features scale with body size (Peters 1983, Brown 1995, Gillooly et al. 2001, 2002, Savage et al. 2004), comparative studies of size may help reveal the connection between the biodiversity of communities and the evolved characteristics of their constituent species. Since Thiel (1975, 1979) first demonstrated that the average size of organisms decreases with depth in the deep sea, a large literature on bathymetric patterns of body size has developed (reviewed in Rex & Etter 1998). Nearly all of these studies examine depth trends in the average size of whole functional groups or higher taxa. The results are highly disparate, owing in part to methodological differences, and presumably to real biological differences in how faunal groups respond to depth gradients (Rex & Etter 1998).

The relationship between average size and depth depends on either variation within species or depth-related replacement of species that differ in size. Since adaptation is a property of species, not communities, the explanation of depth trends in average size ultimately must be sought at the species level. There have been very few measurements of size-depth patterns within deep-sea macrofaunal species. Among gastro-
pod species, the size-depth clines that have been examined are mostly positive (Rex & Etter 1998, Rex et al. 1999, Clain & Rex 2000, McClain & Rex 2001) or insignificant, but negative patterns are known (Olabarria & Thurston 2003, this study). Roy (2002) found a variety of size-depth trends in gastropod families on the continental shelf of the eastern North Pacific.

In the present study, we document size-depth patterns within and among gastropod species using a greatly expanded database of individuals and species, and more equitable depth coverage. Size-depth trends change gradually from positive to negative with increasing depth. This shift appears to be related to processes that also regulate biodiversity in bathyal and abyssal regions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

To obtain a more comprehensive picture of size-depth trends, we combined 2 existing databases on turrid neogastropods (Rex et al. 1999, Clain & Rex 2000) with new measurements of shell dimensions on 2809 additional individuals from 70 caenogastropod and vetigastropod species. If samples for individual species at a site exceeded 100, we selected 50 individuals at random to measure. In total, the material analyzed represents 3423 individuals distributed among 81 species. The samples were collected using epibenthic sleds (Hessler & Sanders 1967) and anchor dredges (Sanders et al. 1965) from depths of 196 to 5042 m south of New England (Fig. 1) as part of the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution’s Benthic Sampling Program (Sanders 1977). Analyses of trophic roles and modes of larval development in the assemblage can be found in Rex (1976) and Rex & Warén (1982).

Images of all prosobranch shells were obtained from a Spot TR digital camera mounted to a Nikon SMZ1000 dissection scope. Digital images were analyzed and imaged on a Macintosh G4 using Spot Advanced software. Shell size was measured as maximum height plus maximum width, with the shell in standard orientation as described by Rex et al. (1999). The relationship between size and depth was examined with quantile regression (Scharf et al. 1998, Cade et al. 1999, Cade & Noon 2003) using the statistical software pack-

Fig. 1. Map of sampling localities in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean used to assess bathymetric patterns of shell size.
age BLOSSOM™, developed by the Midcontinental Ecological Science Center. Most theories of optimal size predict the size at which animals stop growing (Sebens 1987, Chapelle & Peck 1999), and quantile regression provides a way to detect trends in final size without sacrificing other information on the distribution of size (McCain & Rex 2001). Throughout the paper, we refer to the largest size attained per depth as maximum size. We explored 99, 95, 90 and 85% quantile regressions for relationships of size to depth. We report the 99, 95 and 90% quantiles for the relationship of individual size to depth using all gastropods measured. For size-depth clines in individual species, we report the 85% quantile, which showed the greatest number of significant relationships.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The interspecific analysis of all gastropod individuals shows a unimodal pattern of size with depth (Fig. 2). Size increases to around 3000 m in the bathyal zone, and then decreases toward the abyss. We used parabolic quantile regressions to describe this pattern because they explain considerably more variance (about 20%) than linear regressions (about 2 to 5%). The increase in size across the bathyal region essentially corroborates earlier findings (Rex & Etter 1998, Rex et al. 1999, Clain & Rex 2000, McCain & Rex 2001). The reduction of size in the abyss had not been observed because of the paucity of abyssal data in the North Atlantic, although Harasewych & Kantor (2004) recently showed that the average size of buccinoidean snails decreases from bathyal to abyssal depths in the Scotia Sea off Antarctica. Interestingly, there is, in hindsight, a clear suggestion in our earlier published data that the maximum sizes of abyssal populations are lower (see Rex et al. 1999, Fig. 2 bottom, and McCain & Rex 2001, Fig. 1a); but it was not statistically supported and was dismissed as an artifact. A similar trend is observed in some megafaunal elements of the deep western North Atlantic (Polloni et al. 1979).

A more intuitive way of visualizing the pattern is shown in Fig. 3. The relative abundance distributions of individuals in size classes are plotted for successive 1000 m depth intervals. Normal curves are fitted to the distributions and the vertical dashed lines approximate the 95% quantile for the data in each depth bin. Both the central tendency of size and maximum size increase to a peak in the 2000–3000 m interval and then decline toward the abyss. The changes in average size among the size distributions are significant (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level) except between the shallowest (0–1000 m) and deepest (5000–6000 m), and between 4000–5000 and 5000–6000 m, the latter of which is a seaward extension in the abyssal plain representing few data (Fig. 2).
In Fig. 4, we plot size-depth clines for 17 species that have significant relationships at the 85% level, the quantile with the greatest number of significant regressions. The general pattern is that slopes of the regressions are primarily positive in the bathyal zone and tend to become negative in the lower bathyal and abyssal regions, although positive and negative slopes are found in all areas. When the species are divided into 2 depth groups—those with ranges that are mostly bathyal (Op, Mp, Tm, Ph, Ac, Ce, Cb, Ba, Ta and Ps in Fig. 4) and those that are mostly lower bathyal and abyssal (Ti, By, Gy, Pl, Dp, Bl, Se in Fig. 4)—there is a significant shift in the sign of the size-depth slopes from more positive to more negative ($\chi^2 = 5.130$, df = 1, $p = 0.0235$; the more conservative Fisher-Yates exact probability = 0.0498). The sign is unrelated to feeding type (predator vs. deposit feeder, $\chi^2 = 1.431$, df = 1, $p = 0.2316$) and to mode of larval development (dispersing vs. nondispersing, $\chi^2 = 2.837$, df = 1, $p = 0.0921$). Information on feeding types, larval dispersal, and definitions of species abbreviations are provided in Table 1.

Variation in maximum size as a function of depth within species generally agrees with earlier analyses based on fewer species that were primarily bathyal in distribution and used different measures of size (Rex & Etter 1998). Earlier analyses (e.g. Etter & Rex 1990, Rex & Etter 1990) were directed at documenting patterns of geographic variation in shell phenotypic traits (size, shape, sculpture) that required using measurements standardized to common growth stages in order to avoid the potentially confounding effects of differences in allometry. These studies attempted to identify regions of evolutionary population differentiation. Later studies, including this one, are concerned with assessing maximum size attained because this is the variable predicted by ecological models of optimal size (Sebens 1982, 1987). The lower-bathyal and abyssal Benthonella tenella, which previously showed no significant bathymetric trend for size standardized to a common growth stage (end of first adult whorl), reveals a significant negative pattern with depth for maximum size when considerably larger sample sizes are included (Fig. 4). Mitrella pura formerly showed a positive trend for standardized size, and now shows a negative trend for maximum size. Frigidoalvania brychia showed a positive relationship for standardized size, but no significant trend for maximum size despite the fact that standardized size and maximum size are correlated (Rex & Etter 1998). Clearly the measure of size used and sample size can matter, and the addition of more lower-bathyal and abyssal material here shows that size-depth relationships change from positive to negative at the base of the continental margin both within and among species (Fig. 4).

The much expanded data and analyses presented here modify our view of size-depth trends in North Atlantic deep-sea gastropods. Initial studies, based largely on bathyal data and many fewer species (Rex & Etter 1998, Rex et al. 1999, Clain & Rex 2000, McClain & Rex 2001), gave the impression that size increased consistently with depth both within and among species. Apart from the upper bathyal species Troschelia

Fig. 3. Percentage of individuals in 5 mm size classes for 1000 m depth intervals. Black lines are normal curves fitted to the data and dashed lines indicate the size class corresponding to the upper 95% quantile.
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In the eastern Atlantic (Olabarria & Thurston 2003), there was previously no indication that size decreases with depth. It is now apparent that the predominant pattern seems to be an increase across the bathyal zone and then a decline at abyssal depths.

The within-species trends (Fig. 4) are reflected in the overall pattern for all gastropods (Figs. 2 & 3), suggesting that intraspecific clinal variation exerts an important influence on size-depth trends. This does not deny the possibility that changes in average size (Fig. 3) reflect species replacement with depth as proposed by Lampitt et al. (1986) and Jumars & Wheatcroft (1989). Gastropods do have a high rate of species replacement with depth (Rex 1977). To test for a species-replacement component, we regressed the average size of individual species against the mid-points of their depth ranges for all species that did not show significant clinal effects (64 species). We detected no significant size-depth relationships using either least-square linear and quadratic methods or quantile (90, 95, 99%) linear and quadratic methods (p = 0.11–0.89).

The general agreement of clinal patterns (Fig. 4) with the overall size-depth distribution (Figs. 2 & 3) suggests that size is an evolutionary adaptive response to an environmental gradient in the deep sea. This point may seem implicit and obvious, but most studies of size-depth relationships in deep-sea organisms are either interpreted in terms of ecological energetics, or simply assume that size is adaptive without providing within-species evidence for geographic variation. Of course, it is important to recognize that not all geographic variation in size need be adaptive—it could also be a phenotypic plastic response (Van Voorhies 1996). Deep-sea mollusks show strong depth-related clinal variation in shell architecture (Etter & Rex 1990) and genetic population structure (Chase et al. 1998, Etter et al. 2005), but the genetic basis of size is not known.

The increase in maximum size attained with depth in bathyal snails has been attributed to increasing metabolic and competitive advantages of larger size as food resources diminish (Rex & Etter 1998, Rex et al. 1999). Larger organisms have a more efficient metabolic rate per unit weight that can maximize standing stock, although metabolic advantages can be offset by inverse density dependence at low density in a food-limited environment like the deep sea (Thiel 1975). Optimality models of body size in marine invertebrates (Sebens 1982, 1987) predict that size should

---

Fig. 4. Eighty five percent quantile regression plot of the relationship between maximum size and depth for abundant species of prosobranch gastropods in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean. Only significant regression lines are shown. Information on feeding types, larval dispersal, and definitions of species abbreviations are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. Feeding and larval types for species included in Fig. 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Feeding type</th>
<th>Larval type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onoba pelagica</td>
<td>Op</td>
<td>Deposit feeder</td>
<td>Nondispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitrella pura</td>
<td>Mp</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Nondispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarannis morchii</td>
<td>Tm</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Nondispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pusilina harpa</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Deposit feeder</td>
<td>Nondispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admete contabulata</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Nondispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerithiella sp.</td>
<td>Ce</td>
<td>Deposit feeder</td>
<td>Nondispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthomangelia antonia</td>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnobela sp.</td>
<td>Gy</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurotomella lottae</td>
<td>Pl</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycllostrema basistratum</td>
<td>Cb</td>
<td>Deposit feeder</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta lyronuclea</td>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trophon abyssorum</td>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Nondispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurotomella sandersoni</td>
<td>Ps</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drilliola pruina</td>
<td>Dp</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthobia tyroni</td>
<td>By</td>
<td>Predator</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthonella tenella</td>
<td>Bt</td>
<td>Deposit feeder</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequenzia eritima</td>
<td>Se</td>
<td>Deposit feeder</td>
<td>Dispersing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
decrease along gradients of decreasing food availability because lower rates of nutrient input depress energy intake and elevate the cost of foraging (Rex & Etter 1998). This may account for the decrease in average size of benthic animals with depth (Thiel 1979, McClain et al. 2005). However, within species, adaptive tradeoffs are complex, and competing selective factors can shift populations away from an optimal size predicted solely on the basis of energy allocation to reproduction. For example, larger size may enhance competitive ability, reduce mortality from predation and increase the ability to exploit a broader range of food items as resources become scarcer (Levinton 1987, Sebens 1987). Larval size also increases with depth, and the relationship is especially strong in bathyal populations (Rex & Etter 1998). Larger size at hatching or settlement confers several advantages in marine snails (Spight 1976), including escape from predators, less susceptibility to starvation, greater locomotion for foraging, and a larger range of potential food items.

Clearly the simple explanations for how metabolic and competitive advantages of larger size account for positive size-depth trends in bathyal snails cannot be extended to the abyss, where size and depth are negatively related. So, why does size decrease in the abyss? One obvious concern is that the pattern could reflect sampling bias. Densities of the macrobenthos as a whole (Rex 1981), and gastropods (Rex et al. 1990, 2005), decrease exponentially with depth and reach very low levels in the abyss. This raises the possibility that larger snails were encountered less often at abyssal depths because of undersampling. However, several lines of evidence suggest that undersampling is not the main underlying cause. First, there are considerably more samples collected in the abyss (17) than for any other major physiographic feature (continental shelf, upper and lower continental slope, and upper and lower continental rise, 2 to 7 samples). The total sample size of the abyss (N = 1104) exceeds that of the entire lower bathyal region between 2000 and 4000 m (N = 626), where average size is conspicuously higher (Fig. 2). In addition, some of the largest individuals have been recovered from abyssal depths (Fig. 2). Second, abyssal assemblages show very uneven relative abundance of species that are numerically dominated by 2 species, Benthonella tenella and Xyloskenea naticiformis, which have both abyssal and lower bathyal distributions, but nowhere reach large size (maximum height plus width values are about 7 and 4 mm respectively; Rex & Etter 1990, Rex et al. 2002, McClain et al. 2004). In other words, common abyssal species are characteristically small. Third, to determine whether collecting larger individuals is biased by depth of sampling we regressed the partial residuals of the maximum size recorded for each species (with the effect of its depth range midpoint statistically removed) against the number of individuals collected for each species. This relationship is not significant ($r^2 = 0.007$, $p = 0.4450$, df = 79), suggesting that the likelihood of capturing larger individuals is similar across the entire depth range sampled. Still, we caution that there is considerable variation in size trends within and among species (Figs. 2, 3 & 4), and that only more intensive sampling can establish size-depth trends in a definitive way.

One possible explanation for smaller size in abyssal snails is that bathyal and abyssal zones may function as a source-sink system for populations of some species (Rex et al. 2005). The rate of nutrient input to the deepsea benthos from surface production decreases exponentially with depth across the continental margin and reaches extremely low levels in the abyss far from sources of coastal production and terrestrial runoff. This results in the well known decline in animal density with depth mentioned above (Gage & Tyler 1991). Species diversity also decreases from the lower bathyal region to the abyss, where standing stock becomes extremely low (Rex 1981, McClain et al. 2004). The abyssal molluscan fauna consists mainly of deeper range extensions for a subset of bathyal species with larval dispersal. Most abyssal populations live at densities that seem too low for successful reproduction. The abyss may be an unfavorable sink environment where many molluscan populations are reproductively unsustainable and are maintained by immigration from bathyal sources (Rex et al. 2005). Even the few common, and presumably more successful, abyssal species like Benthonella tenella and Xyloskenea naticiformis are small, suggesting that the extremely low productivity of this region acts as a biogeographic filter favoring small size. If this scenario is correct, then reduced body size at abyssal depths likely reflects unfavorable circumstances for growth. In other words, a greater proportion of abyssal individuals remain relatively small either because they are immature or belong to inherently small taxa. Many species simply run out of energy for growth and reproduction as Thiel (1975, 1979) suggested. The severe energy constraints on population growth and reproductive success of some species ultimately depress abyssal species diversity. Or, as Hutchinson (1959, p. 150) said for a different environment, ‘the rarer species in a community may be so rare that they do not exist’.
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